

April 2008

The Market's Mixed Signals: Reinsurance Renewals at April 1, 2008

Reinsurance renewals at April 1, 2008, sent mixed signals to the global market. Cedents pushed hard for rate reductions in Asia. Reinsurers stood firm, though, as rates in general are already close to technical levels. The majority of rate decreases, therefore, were single-digit. U.S. cedents pushed as well. While reinsurers resisted, competitive forces prevailed, and rate reductions were substantial. Decreases can be achieved, it seems, if rates are above technical levels. Insufficient rate levels and poor loss histories led to reinsurer discipline.

Japan

The level of rate reduction achievable for catastrophe protections and the ability to maintain fire proportional capacity were the two major issues facing buyers entering the April 2008 Japanese renewal. The concern over fire capacity resulted from the impact of several large fire losses in 2007, following a run of similarly poor results over the prior five years.

For windstorm, the average final firm order as a percentage of the lowest quotation was approximately 98 percent for Guy Carpenter & Company, LLC's ("Guy Carpenter's") portfolio. The softer market is evident in the fall of this index below 100 percent. Further significant price reductions may be limited, though, based on key indicators such as overcapacity and pricing margin. Japanese reinsurance buyers, therefore, may experience limited flexibility in core purchases, but they should be able to exploit the soft market with appropriate opportunistic purchases.

Despite problems in the capital markets, insurance-linked securities (ILS) continue to provide buyers with an alternative source of capital, particularly for remote events. In Japan, buyers and sellers are expected to show continued strategic interest in ILS.

The Fire Market

As expected, the recent series of fire losses led to a challenging renewal for many buyers. Once terms and conditions were accepted by major reinsurers, though, there was sufficient capacity from incumbent reinsurers and some newcomers for the main fire treaties. For most cedents, secondary treaties were significantly more difficult to place.

Firm evidence of an improvement in the original fire market will be necessary to maintain proportional reinsurance as a viable product in the medium-term for reinsurers, particularly for large risks. This year, cedents purchased less proportional capacity, and excess of loss placement increased significantly. The split between proportional and non-proportional capacity for fire reinsurance is now almost equal. Consequently, there are signs that Lloyd's and Bermuda are experiencing potential clash issues on some large accounts.

The Catastrophe Market

Modest reductions in most lines of catastrophe business occurred at April 1 renewals. Most program structures remained similar.

	Average ROL			Risk Weighted Price Mov't
	2007	2008	Change	
Windstorm Excess of Loss	5.65%	5.46%	-3.36%	Flat to -10%
EQ Excess of Loss	3.17%	3.10%	-2.21%	-5% to -10%
EFEI Excess of Loss	1.99%	1.94%	-2.51%	-5% to -10%
EQ Pro Rata	4.18%	4.19%	0.24%	flat
Personal Accident	1.74%	1.65%	-5.34%	-5% to -10%
General Casualty	N/A	N/A	N/A	Flat to -5%

Source: Guy Carpenter & Company, LLC


Windstorm

The windstorm market was fairly stable, with only a slight increase in overall capacity for 2008. Where pricing warranted, buyers explored new layers, especially at the top of existing programs. This resulted in a small expansion of total limits for some companies. Windstorm is the single largest reinsurance expense for most cedents.

Generally, large programs had trouble achieving actual premium reductions, but buyers with aggregate exposure growth were able to make risk-adjusted price reductions by maintaining or slightly reducing Rates on Line (ROLs). Larger reductions in ceded premiums tended to be possible for smaller programs.

The overall market deductible level changed little; most companies sought to maintain attachment and ground-up limits. Price softening meant that buyers did not resort to deductible management to control costs. Modeled loss ratios for the market rose in line with exposures, while risk loads, which can be used to represent net margin to reinsurers after acquisition costs and expenses, fell. This indicated that there was little margin remaining over modeled loss cost. According to Guy Carpenter's Windstorm ROL index, market average ROL declined by 3.4 percent.


Wind: Market Capacity and Pricing – Non-Life Companies



Several windstorm programs moved duration in the hours clause from 72 hours to 96 hours. This was accepted by most reinsurers. A similar change from 72 to 168 hours occurred on some earthquake programs.

In contrast to previous years, reinsurers reduced written shares, often to the level of 2007's signed line. Some reinsurers declined business because they considered it underpriced or because the exchange rate (strengthening Japanese Yen) forced a reduction of their aggregate exposures. Consequently, overplacement of programs declined substantially. In terms of surplus capacity, the market went from a record high in 2007 to a record low in 2008. This could signify a turning point. Overplacement is now small, and many layers are paying at or below the modeled loss cost. As a result, the market may have neither the scope for large reductions similar to those of the last soft market (late 1990s) nor the ability to sink to levels experienced during that period.

Windstorm Market Degree of Overplacement



Source: Guy Carpenter & Company, LLC

Earthquake Pro Rata


For the first time in six years, the estimated Probable Maximum Loss (PML) ceded to the reinsurance market decreased. The total capacity sought grew only slightly, leading to higher levels of “Air Capacity” – unused capacity that cedents retain in their treaties to allow for possible increases in aggregates – in the treaty market as a whole. As measured by treaty ROL, pricing was stable. Treaties with fire sections tended to be more difficult to place than those for pure earthquake; poor fire results removed any potential to lever increases in earthquake commission levels. Nevertheless, the treaties were successfully placed.

Growth of the aggregate premium ceded in recent years has focused on zones other than Zone 5, which is essentially metropolitan Tokyo. Cedents have seen exposure increase outside Tokyo and sought to achieve a broader regional balance to ceded treaties. Consequently, the total ceded aggregate in Zone 6 exceeded that in Zone 5 for the first time in recent years.

Earthquake Excess of Loss

Capacity purchased by non-life companies was stable. Market ROL decreased by 2.2 percent on average. However, on a risk-adjusted basis, average prices were down by nearly 10 percent.

Earthquake: Market Capacity and Pricing – Non-Life Companies



Earthquake excess of loss remained popular with the reinsurance market. Companies purchasing large pro rata protections used their excess of loss programs as support for the pro rata business. Smaller buyers, who are less reliant on large supplies of pro rata capacity, were more aggressive with their reinsurers and consequently achieved substantial savings. Minor changes to the duration of hours clauses did not appear to quell the desire of reinsurers to write this class.

Earthquake Fire Expense Insurance Excess of Loss

The Earthquake Fire Expense Insurance (EFEI) standalone market shrunk for the fifth successive year to stand at less than half the 2001 peak level. Significant amounts of EFEI protection are now incorporated into industrial earthquake excess of loss covers. Buyers of standalone EFEI protection achieved significant ROL reductions. The market’s average ROL was down 2.5 percent, though risk adjusted-rate changes were closer to an average decline of 10 percent.

EFEI now has a minor role as a support business to earthquake pro rata treaties. EFEI specialists still participate in the market, but only where their price flexibility can accommodate the buyer’s budget.

Republic of Korea

Rates dropped across the board for loss-free Korean business at April 1 renewals. Property event excess of loss treaties have been loss-free since 2003, and reductions for this category ranged from 5 percent to 20 percent. For programs where premiums declined and aggregate levels increased, placement was more difficult than for those where premium growth was equivalent to a rise in aggregates.

Certain property risk programs had severe losses in 2007, leading to renewal increases of approximately 40 percent. Loss-free risk program reductions were similar to those of event excess of loss, and some risk programs also secured broader terms and increased territorial scope. Other lines of business, which have generally run profitably in recent years, experienced reductions of up to 15 percent.

Most capacity came from Asia-based reinsurers. The London market still participates in several programs, but its shares have been declining for the last three years.

India

The Indian market continues to grow rapidly. The establishment of new non-life insurance companies continued in 2008. The effect of “de-tariffication” continues. The downward movement of original pricing is reducing volumes to proportional treaties, although this effect is mitigated by the overall growth of the market.

The major renewal for the Indian market, General Insurance Corporation of India (GIC), is scheduled for May 1 and gives the clearest picture of the state of the market. Because of the April 1 renewal trend, the obligatory cession by domestic insurers to GIC drops from 15 percent to 10 percent. However, companies are permitted to replace the lost mandatory cession with a special voluntary quota share placement of the same business. In the future, it is hoped that there may be less pressure on ratings, as insurance companies are allowed to apply new deductible and policy condition regimes.

There has been little catastrophe activity in India in 2008, and the frequency of fire losses has been low, leading to favorable results for insurers. Despite these favorable results, reinsurers of proportional treaties are pushing for reduced commissions to address perceived margin deficiencies. The differences between private and state-owned companies continue, with the latter enjoying more favorable conditions.


Excess of loss pricing remains competitive, with risk-adjusted reductions under the catastrophe excess of loss programs ranging from 40 percent to 50 percent. The number of quoting and leading reinsurers operating in India remains small. GIC is the largest writing company with major European and Asian reinsurers being the key alternatives and followers.

United States

Property Cat Renewals

At April 1 renewals, ROLs adjusted for risk load declined across the board, continuing a pattern observed in the data for January 1 renewals.

Representative 2008 Quotes & Firm Orders Compared to 2007 Firm Orders




Source: Guy Carpenter & Company, LLC

Reflecting pressure brought by cedents and their brokers on the market, Firm Order Terms (FOT) in April 1, 2008, were below quotes. Only a small amount of data is available for April 1, 2008, renewals, though it does point to a softening of the market.

FOT for January 1, 2008, were declining to the levels reached at April 1, 2007. The fact that January 1, 2008, FOT is higher than that of April 1, 2008, is likely a statistical anomaly.

Credit rating appears to have little effect on quoting behavior. Evaluated by A.M. Best rating, 13 of the 19 reinsurers with average quotes below the baseline have A ratings, almost equal to the 12 that are rated A among the 19 above the baseline. These results are somewhat counter-intuitive, as one would expect higher rated firms to have more beneficial quote variations.

Market Quoting Behavior, April 1, 2008, Property Catastrophe Renewals



Source: Guy Carpenter & Company, LLC

Looking ahead to the critical renewal dates of June 1 (Florida) and July 1 (most regions in the Western hemisphere), current trends suggest that rates are likely to continue to decline. However, this assumes that catastrophe losses will remain low. With forecasters calling for an above-average North Atlantic hurricane season (15 named storms versus the historical average of 9.6), the industry's two-year string of good fortune may come to a close.

Contact Information

This briefing was prepared by Guy Carpenter's Business Intelligence Unit. Please contact one of the following team members if you have a question or require additional information.

Christopher Klein, Managing Director, Global Head
Business Intelligence Unit +44 (0)20 7357 2514

Ed Fenton, Managing Director
Asia/Pacific Region +44 (0)20 7357 3513

Kevin Stokes, Managing Director, Practice Leader
Global Property Specialty Practice +1 917 937 3758

Guy Carpenter & Company, LLC is the world's leading risk and reinsurance specialist and a part of the Marsh & McLennan Companies. Guy Carpenter creates and executes reinsurance solutions and makes available capital market solutions* for clients worldwide through 2,600 professionals across the globe. The firm's full breadth of services includes 16 centers of excellence in Accident & Health, Agriculture, Alternative Risk Transfer, Environmental, General Casualty, Investment Banking*, Life & Annuity, Marine & Energy, Professional Liability, Program Manager Solutions, Property, Retrocessional, Structured Risk, Surety, Terror Risk, and Workers Compensation. GCFac®, Guy Carpenter's dedicated global facultative reinsurance unit, provides the placement strategies, information and timely market access that are critical to obtaining strategic facultative reinsurance. In addition, Guy Carpenter's InStrat® unit utilizes industry-leading quantitative skills and modeling tools that optimize the reinsurance decision-making process and help make the firm's clients more successful. Guy Carpenter's website address is www.guy-carp.com.

* Securities or investments, as applicable, are offered in the United States through GC Securities, a division of MMC Securities Corp., a US registered broker-dealer and member FINRA/SIPC. Main Office: 1166 Avenue of the Americas, New York, NY 10036. Phone: (212) 345-5000. Advice on securities or investments in the European Union is provided through GC Securities Ltd., authorized and regulated by the Financial Services Authority. Reinsurance products are placed through qualified affiliates of Guy Carpenter. MMC Securities Corp., GC Securities Ltd. and Guy Carpenter are affiliates owned by Marsh & McLennan Companies.

Guy Carpenter & Company, LLC provides this report for general information only. The information contained herein is based on sources we believe reliable, but we do not guarantee its accuracy, and it should be understood to be general insurance/reinsurance information only. Guy Carpenter & Company, LLC makes no representations or warranties, express or implied. The information is not intended to be taken as advice with respect to any individual situation and cannot be relied upon as such.

Readers are cautioned not to place undue reliance on any historical, current or forward-looking statements. Guy Carpenter & Company, LLC undertakes no obligation to update or revise publicly any historical, current or forward-looking statements, whether as a result of new information, research, future events or otherwise.

Statements concerning, tax, accounting, legal or regulatory matters should be understood to be general observations based solely on our experience as reinsurance brokers and risk consultants, and may not be relied upon as tax, accounting, legal or regulatory advice which we are not authorized to provide. All such matters should be reviewed with your own qualified advisors in these areas.

This document or any portion of the information it contains may not be copied or reproduced in any form without the permission of Guy Carpenter & Company, LLC, except that clients of Guy Carpenter & Company, LLC need not obtain such permission when using this report for their internal purposes.

The trademark and service marks contained herein are the property of their respective owners.